arXiv:0912.0789v3 [hep-th] 16 Apr 2011

Higher-spin symmetries of the free Schrödinger equation

Mauricio Valenzuela

Instituto de Matemática y Física, Casilla 747, Universidad de Talca, Talca, Chile

Abstract

It is shown that the free Schrodinger algebra of a free particle in d spatial dimensions can be embeded into a representation of the higher-spin algebra algebra. The latter spans an infinite dimensional algebra of higher-order symmetry generators of the free Schrödinger equation. An explicit representation of the maximal finite dimensional subalgebra of the higher spin algebra, the semidirect sum of a Heisenberg and of a symplectic algebra, is given in terms of non-relativistic generators. Our procedure reveals that the space of solution of the Schrödinger equation can be regarded also as a supersymmetric module.

Keywords: Higher-spin theory, Non-relativistic symmetry, Supersymmetry

The Schrödinger group was discovered by S. Lie [1] and, even earlier, the conserved quantities associated with the Schrödinger invariance were already know to Jacobi [2] (see also [3, 4]). Its name, however, is taken from quantum mechanics [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], since it extends the Galilean symmetries of the free Schrödinger equation with dilatation and expansions transformations. The Schrödinger group is isomorphic to the Newton-Hooke conformal group of the harmonic oscillator [8], and it appears also in several contexts, e.g., magnetic monopoles [10], vortices [11, 12, 13], fluid mechanics [14], and strongly correlated fermions [15, 16]. The Schrödinger group has some infinite dimensional generalizations [3, 15], and it can be also realized geometrically as space-time isometries [17, 18, 19]. The Schrödinger symmetry have attracted also renewed interest since the discovery of the non-relativistic AdS/CFT correspondence [20, 21, 22], which relates an asymptotic theory on a curved background to a non-relativistic quantum system [19, 20, 21, 22].

The goal of this paper is to show that Galileo boosts, translations and the mass generators are building blocks for constructing higher-order symmetries of the free Schrödinger equation. This is done by noticing that from Galileo boosts, translations and the mass operator, which satisfy the Heisenberg algebra, we can construct a representation of the Weyl algebra. The latter will span an infinite set of conserved charges, containing in particular all Schrödinger generators. Endowing these generators with a (super)commutator product yields the so-called *higher spin (super)algebra* [23, 24, 25]. These algebras are well-known in higher spin gauge theories (see e.g. [23, 24, 25, 26, 27]). It follows that the free Schrödinger equation has higher spin symmetries, and reciprocally, Schrödinger symmetry is naturally contained in higher spin theory. As we shall see indeed, the truncation of the higher spin algebra to its maximal finite dimensional subalgebra still contains the Schrödinger algebra. We also observe that the same generators, but now endowed with a supercommutator product, yield a supersymmetric (orthosymplectic type) counterpart of the Schrödinger symmetry.

Consider hence the d dimensional Heisenberg algebra,

$$\mathfrak{h}_d = \{\mathbb{1},\, G_i,\, P_i \;\; ; \quad [G_i, P_j] = \mathrm{i}\delta_{ij}\;,\;\; i,j \;\; = 1, ..., d\}.$$

The Weyl algebra, denoted $\mathfrak{h}_d^*$, can be defined as the algebra of Weyl ordered polynomials of the Heisenberg algebra generators [1].

An associative algebra can always be endowed with a commutator product yielding a Lie algebra,

$$[\mathfrak{h}_d^*] = \left\{\mathfrak{h}_d^*;\quad [A,\, B] \,:=\, AB - BA, \quad A,\, B \quad \in \quad \mathfrak{h}_d^*\right\}. \tag{1}$$

Alternatively, as the Weyl algebra is graded over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, we can endow their generators with a supercommutator product yielding a Lie superalgebra,

$$[\mathfrak{h}_d^*\} := \left\{\mathfrak{h}_d^*;\quad [A,\, B\} \,:=\, AB - (-1)^{|A||B|}BA, \quad A,\, B \quad \in \quad \mathfrak{h}_d^*\right\}. \tag{2}$$

Here, $|\cdot|$ denotes the degree of the generators, respectively $|\cdot| = 0$ and $|\cdot| = 1$ for even and odd order polynomials in the generators G_i and P_i of the Heisenberg algebra. The constants of structure of (1) and (2) are derived from those of $\mathfrak{h}_d$, and the (super)Jacobi identity follows from the associativity of the Weyl algebra. The algebras (1) and (2) are called *higher spin (super)algebras* [23, 24, 25], since they contain a maximal subalgebra of compact generators under which the remaining generators transform as tensors of arbitrary spin.

In our approach the Heisenberg algebra is composed of a mass-central-charge, Galileo boosts and translations generators of a non-relativistic particle,

$$\mathfrak{h}_d = \{m = \mathbb{1},\, G_i = x_i - tP_i,\; P_i = -\mathrm{i}\partial/\partial x_i\,\}. \tag{3}$$

Consider now the free Schrödinger equation in d spatial dimensions,

$$\widehat{S}\,\Psi(x,t) = 0, \qquad \widehat{S} = \mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H, \qquad H = \frac{1}{2}\overrightarrow{P}^{\,2}. \tag{4}$$

The dynamics of a quantum operator is given by the Heisenberg equation $\dot{\mathcal{O}} = \partial\mathcal{O}/\partial t + \mathrm{i}[H, \mathcal{O}]$, which can be written in terms of the Schrödinger operator as

$$\dot{\mathcal{O}} = -\mathrm{i}\left[\widehat{S}, \mathcal{O}\right]. \tag{5}$$

This equation reflects the known fact that the Schrödinger equation (4) can be obtained as the quantization of a first class constraint associated to the time-parametrization invariance of the free non-relativistic particle [29].

The equations (4) and (5) imply that constants of motion are symmetry generators, since, as they commutes with the Schrödinger operator, they leave invariant the space of solutions of the Schrödinger equation. This is the case of Galileo boosts and translations

$$\dot{G}_i = -\mathrm{i}[\widehat{S},\, G_i] = 0, \qquad \dot{P}_i = -\mathrm{i}[\widehat{S},\, P_i] = 0\,, \tag{6}$$

hence, it is deduced that any polynomial constructed from them, namely those of the Weyl algebra, will be constants of motion as well. Therefore *the free Schrödinger equation admits infinitely many conserved charges.* As a corollary, the higher spin (super)algebras (1) and (2) are

[1] It is indeed the universal enveloping of the Heisenberg algebra which in suitable base can be defined as the symmetrized products of the $\mathfrak{h}_d$ generators, owing the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem (see eg. [28]).

symmetry algebras of the Schrödinger equation. We shall see indeed that the full Schrödinger algebra emerges as a finite dimensional subalgebra of $[\mathfrak{h}_d^*]$, and it has a supersymmetric counter part in $[\mathfrak{h}_d^*\}$.

Once the generators G_i and P_i are provided, we can form the vector

$$L_a = (G_1, ..., G_d, P_1, ..., P_d), \qquad a = 1, ..., 2d. \tag{7}$$

The commutation relations of $G_i = L_i$ and $P_j = L_{d+j}$ become now,

$$[L_a, L_b] = \mathrm{i}C_{ab}, \qquad C_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{d\times d} \\ -I_{d\times d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

which defines the symplectic matrix C_{ab}. The symmetrized second order products of generators (7),

$$M_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\{L_a, L_b\}, \tag{9}$$

commute with themself as,

$$[M_{ab},\, M_{cd}] = \mathrm{i}(C_{ac}M_{bd} + C_{bd}M_{ac} + C_{ad}M_{bc} + C_{bc}M_{ad}), \tag{10}$$

as it is deduced from (8), generating a representation of the $\mathfrak{sp}(2d)$ algebra. This representation is usually referred as to "oscillator representation", since one of their compact generators can be identified with a harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian. Here the Hamiltonian, is identified with a non-compact generator however, i.e. the one of the non-relativistic particle.

M_{ab} together with L_a yield the commutation relations,

$$[M_{ab}, L_c] = \mathrm{i}(C_{ac}L_b + C_{bc}L_a). \tag{11}$$

The generators M_{ab}, L_a and $\mathbb{1}$ yield the maximal finite dimensional subalgebra of (1) (see (8)-(10)-(11)),

$$\mathfrak{h}_d \ni \mathfrak{sp}(2d) = \Big\{\mathbb{1}, L_a,\, M_{ab}\,;\, [\cdot,\cdot]\Big\} \quad \subset \quad [\mathfrak{h}_d^*]\ . \tag{12}$$

From M_{ab} we can define the generators,

$$C = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ij}M_{ij}, \quad D = -\delta^{ij}M_{i\,d+j}, \quad H = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ij}M_{d+i\,d+j}\,, \quad J_{ij} = M_{i\,d+j} - M_{j\,d+i}, \quad i,j = 1, ..., d\ . \tag{13}$$

Now (13) together with (3) yield the Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[G_i,\, P_j] = \mathrm{i}m\delta_{ij}\,,\\
&[J_{ij},\, J_{kl}] = \mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}J_{jl} + \delta_{jl}M_{ik} - \delta_{il}J_{jk} - \delta_{jk}J_{il}),\\
&[J_{ij},\, P_k] = \mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}P_j - \delta_{jk}P_i), \quad [J_{ij},\, G_k] = \mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}G_j - \delta_{jk}G_i),\\
&[H,\, G_i] = -\mathrm{i}P_i,\\
&[D,\, C] = 2\mathrm{i}C, \qquad [D,\, H] = -2\mathrm{i}H, \qquad [H,\, C] = \mathrm{i}D,\\
&[D,\, P_i] = -\mathrm{i}P_i, \quad [D,\, G_i] = \mathrm{i}G_i, \qquad [C,\, P_i] = \mathrm{i}G_i\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Other commutators vanish. We stress that the full Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$ is implied by the Heisenberg commutation relation (8) and the definitions (13). Indeed, from (3) and (13), the

standard representation of $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$ is recovered,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Hamiltonian} \quad H = \overrightarrow{P}^{\,2}/2,\\
&\text{rotations} \quad J_{ij} = x_i P_j - x_j P_i,\\
&\text{translations} \quad P_i = -\mathrm{i}\partial/\partial x_i,\\
&\text{boosts} \quad G_i = m x_i - t P_i,\\
&\text{mass} \quad m = \mathbb{1},\\
&\text{expansions} \quad C = -t^2 H + tD + \overrightarrow{x}^{\,2}/2,\\
&\text{dilatations} \quad D = 2tH - \overrightarrow{x}\cdot\overrightarrow{P} + \mathrm{i}d/2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The Schrödinger algebra, having the structure $\mathfrak{sch}(d) = \mathfrak{h}_d \ni \{\mathfrak{so}(d) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\}$, is subalgebra of (12) and the higher spin algebra (1), i.e. $\mathfrak{sch}(d) \subset (\mathfrak{h}_d \ni \mathfrak{sp}(2d)) \subset [\mathfrak{h}_d^*]$.

Rotations, dilations and expansion generators, are second order operators in Galileo boosts and translations. They generate independent symmetries however, as it is well known. Indeed, the finite transformations generated by D and C are respectively (see e.g. [7, 4]) $(t, x_i) \to (\lambda^2 t, \lambda x_i)$ and $(t, x_i) \to (1-\kappa t)^{-1}(t, x_i)$ where λ and κ are transformation parameters.

The new generators of $\mathfrak{h}_d \ni \mathfrak{sp}(2d)$ not contained in the Schrödinger algebra are of second order in spatial derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{ij} = \tfrac{1}{2}M_{d+i\,d+j} - \tfrac{1}{d}\delta_{ij}H, \quad G_{ij} = \tfrac{1}{2}M_{ij} - \tfrac{1}{d}\delta_{ij}C, \quad Z_{ij} = M_{i\,d+j} + M_{j\,d+i} + \tfrac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}D,\\
&P_{ij} = P_iP_j - \tfrac{1}{d}\delta_{ij}H, \quad G_{ij} = G_iG_j - \tfrac{1}{d}\delta_{ij}C, \quad Z_{ij} = G_iP_j + P_iG_j + \tfrac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}D.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

These operators are traceless, $\delta^{ij}P_{ij} = \delta^{ij}G_{ij} = \delta^{ij}Z_{ij} = 0$. The non-vanishing remaining commutation relations read,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[P_{ij},\, G_k] = -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\delta_{ik}P_j + \delta_{jk}P_i) + \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{d}\delta_{ij}P_k, \quad [Z_{ij},\, G_k] = -\mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}G_j + \delta_{jk}G_i) + \tfrac{2\mathrm{i}}{d}\delta_{ij}G_k\,,\\
&[G_{ij},\, P_k] = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\delta_{ik}G_j + \delta_{jk}G_i) + \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{d}\delta_{ij}G_k, \quad [Z_{ij},\, P_k] = \mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}P_j + \delta_{jk}P_i) - \tfrac{2\mathrm{i}}{d}\delta_{ij}P_k\,,\\
&[H,\, G_{ij}] = -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}Z_{ij}, \qquad [H,\, Z_{ij}] = -4\mathrm{i}P_{ij}, \qquad [C,\, P_{ij}] = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}Z_{ij}, \qquad [C,\, Z_{ij}] = 4\mathrm{i}G_{ij}\,,\\
&[D,\, G_{ij}] = 2\mathrm{i}G_{ij}, \qquad [D,\, P_{ij}] = -2\mathrm{i}P_{ij},\\
&[G_{ij},\, P_{kl}] = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{8}(\delta_{ik}(Z_{jl} + J_{jl}) + \delta_{il}(Z_{jk} + J_{jk}) + \delta_{jk}(Z_{il} + J_{il}) + \delta_{jl}(Z_{ik} + J_{ik}))\\
&\qquad\qquad -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2d}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \tfrac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl})D - \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2d}(\delta_{ij}Z_{kl} + \delta_{kl}Z_{ij})\,,\\
&[G_{ij},\, Z_{kl}] = \mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}G_{jl} + \delta_{il}G_{jk} + \delta_{jk}G_{il} + \delta_{jl}G_{ik})\\
&\qquad\qquad +\tfrac{2\mathrm{i}}{d}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \tfrac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl})C - \tfrac{4\mathrm{i}}{d}(\delta_{ij}G_{kl} + \delta_{kl}G_{ij}),\\
&[P_{ij},\, Z_{kl}] = -\mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}P_{jl} + \delta_{il}P_{jk} + \delta_{jk}P_{il} + \delta_{jl}G_{ik})\\
&\qquad\qquad -\tfrac{2\mathrm{i}}{d}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \tfrac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl})H + \tfrac{4\mathrm{i}}{d}(\delta_{ij}P_{kl} + \delta_{kl}P_{ij}),\\
&[Z_{ij},\, Z_{kl}] = -2\mathrm{i}(\delta_{ik}J_{jl} + \delta_{il}J_{jk} + \delta_{jk}J_{il} + \delta_{jl}J_{ik}),
\end{aligned}$$

extending $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$ to $\mathfrak{h}_d \ni \mathfrak{sp}(2d)$, which in Galileo covariant notations is given by [2] (cf. (12)),

$$\mathfrak{h}_d \ni \mathfrak{sp}(2d) = \Big\{\mathbb{1},\, G_i,\, P_i,\, J_{ij},\, C,\, D,\, H,\, P_{ij},\, G_{ij},\, Z_{ij}\,;\, [\cdot,\cdot]\Big\}. \tag{15}$$

[2] The algebra (15) has been also discussed in a different context [30].

Notice that the generators (15), endowed instead with a supercommutator product yield

$$\mathfrak{osp}(1|2d) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) = \left\{ \mathbb{1},\, G_i,\, P_i,\, J_{ij},\, C,\, D,\, H,\, P_{ij},\, G_{ij},\, Z_{ij}\,;\, [\cdot,\cdot\} \right\}\,, \tag{16}$$

with (anti)commutation relations equivalent to (9), (10) and (11) (see the definitions (7), (13) and (14)). It is the maximal finite dimensional subalgebra of (2). Here, the Galileo boosts and translations generators, G_i and P_i, are regarded as supercharges (cf. (14)),

$$\{G_i,\, G_j\} = 2G_{ij} + \frac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}C, \quad \{P_i,\, P_j\} = 2P_{ij} + \frac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}H, \quad \{G_i,\, P_j\} = J_{ij} + Z_{ij} - \frac{2}{d}\delta_{ij}D.$$

The Schrödinger algebra extension (12) can be seen hence as the bosonic counterpart of the orthosymplectic supersymmetry $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2d)$ (using the terminology of reference [34], where the bosonic counterpart of super-Poincaré was studied.).

Observe that the reflection operator, $R\Psi(x) = \Psi(-x)$, anticommutes with Galileo boosts, translations and all their odd powers in the Weyl algebra, e.g. $\{R, G_i\} = 0$, $\{R, P_i\} = 0$, whereas it commutes with their even order powers, i.e. $[R, H] = [R, D] = [R, C] = 0$, etc. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading of the Weyl algebra is induced therefore, in our non-relativistic representation, by the spatial reflections. The wave function can be regarded indeed as a supermultiplet, $\Psi(x,t) = \Psi_+(x,t) + \Psi_-(x,t)$, where their symmetric and antisymmetric projections $\Psi_\pm(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}(\Psi(x,t) \pm \Psi(-x,t))$, i.e. the eigenvectors of the reflection operator $R\Psi_\pm(x,t) = \pm\Psi_\pm(x,t)$, can be viewed as the "bosonic" and "fermionic" components respectively. The odd operators (supercharges) in the superalgebras (2) and (16) map the symmetric (bosonic) into the antisymmetric (fermionic) component of the wave function and vice versa. Observe that the "Pauli exclusion principle" is satisfied by the odd wave function, $\Psi_-(0,t) = 0$. The symmetric/antisymmetric decomposition of the wave function, as well as the vanishing commutation relation of the Hamiltonian with the non-trivial reflection operator, reveals the double degeneracy of the Hamiltonian, as it is expected in supersymmetric systems. However, this supersymmetric structure does not involve the introduction of other degrees of freedom such as Clifford or Grassmann variables as in e.g. [32, 33]. This is not a supersymmetric extension of $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$, but it is a realization of the superalgebras (2) and (16) in terms of Schrödinger symmetry generators. This type of supersymmetry, induced by parity under spatial reflections, has been widely studied by M. Plyushchay and collaborators in diverse interacting quantum mechanical system which do not involve fermionic degrees of freedom, and called for this reason *bosonized supersymmetry*, or *hidden supersymmetry* [31].

The realization, in this non-relativistic scenario, of (unitary) representation of the $\mathfrak{sp}(2d)$ algebra, or the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2d)$ superalgebra, is curious, since their relation with the symmetry algebras of relativistic theories by means of the embedding

$$\mathfrak{cf}(1|d-2,1) \approx \mathfrak{so}(1|d-1,2) \qquad \hookrightarrow \qquad \mathfrak{osp}(1|2^{[d/2]}).$$

Hence the space of solution of the the Schrödinger equation in $2^{[d/2]-1}$ spatial dimensions span a representation space of the superconformal algebra in $d-1$ space-time dimensions.

It is worth to mention here that the spin-statistics theorem does not hold in non-relativistic field theories [35], i.e. statistics and spin may be unconnected. Hence, the ambiguity here observed treating the symmetry generators of the non-relativistic particle as bosonic or fermionic operators could persist also after second quantization (cf. [36]).

As a final remark, we note that higher spin fields, in Vasiliev's and related approaches, are zero-forms or one-forms valued in the higher spin algebra, e.g.

$$W(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} w^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n}(y)\; L_{a_1} L_{a_2} \cdots L_{a_n}, \quad a_1, a_2, ..., a_n = 1, 2, ..., 2d, \tag{17}$$

where $w^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n}(y)$ is completely symmetric in the a's indices and y labels local coordinates[3]. Identifying the L_a's generators as a composed Galileo-boost/translation vectors (7), and their second order powers with the non-relativistic generators (13) and (14) allow us to view the expansion (17) as differential form valued in an extension of the non-relativistic Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$. The Vasiliev framework consists in a generalization of the Cartan formulation of gravity (see e.g. [25]), determining the dynamics of the higher spin fields $w^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n}(y)$. Similarly, in reference [27] a one-form connection of the type (17) is used to extend the Chern-Simons theory of gravity in $2+1$ dimensions. Hence it would be valid to ask, for instance, if these theories may be consistently truncated to their Schrödinger sectors. A positive answer could provide further holographic-type correspondences between non-relativistic theories and systems in curved geometries. By analogy with the non-relativistic AdS/CFT correspondence [20, 21, 22], based on the embedding of Schrödinger algebras into conformal algebras [37], we believe that our result could be helpful to relate holographically higher spin theories and non-relativistic systems.

The results here presented can be also generalized to the harmonic oscillator Schrödinger equation, taking advantage of the isomorphism of the Schrödinger algebra and the conformal Newton-Hooke algebra [8].

Acknowledgments

We thank X. Bekaert, M. Hassaine, P. Horvathy, M. Plyushchay and M.A. Vasiliev for valuable discussions. This work was supported by *Anillos de Investigación en Ciencia y Tecnología*, project ACT 56 *Lattice and Symmetry*.

References

[1] S. Lie, *"Vorlesungen uber Differentialgleichungen mit bekannten infinitesimalen Transformationen"* Bearbeitet und herausgegehen von Dr.G. Scheffers, B.G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1891, (Reprinted as *"Differentialgleichungen"*, Chelsea, New York, 1967).

[2] C. G. J. Jacobi, *"Vorlesungen über Dynamik,"* Univ. Königsberg 1842-43. Herausg. A. Clebsch. Vierte Vorlesung: Das Princip der Erhaltung der lebendigen Kraft. Zweite ausg. C. G. J. Jacobis Gesammelte Werke. Supplementband. Herausg. E. Lottner. Berlin Reimer (1884).

[3] P. Havas and J. Plebanski, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978) 482.

[4] C. Duval and P. A. Horvathy, J. Phys. **A 42** 465206 (2009), arXiv:0904.0531 [math-ph].

[5] H. A. Kastrup, Nucl. Phys. B. **7** (1968) 545.

[6] R. Jackiw, Phys. Today, **25** (1972), 23.

[7] U. Niederer, Helv. Phys. Acta **45** (1972), 802.

[8] U. Niederer, Helv. Phys. Acta **46** (1973) 191.

[3] Further developments in higher spin algebra includes Clifford or Grassmann variables in the expansion (17). Alternatively, the representation of the Weyl algebra can be realized in terms of commuting L_a-variables endowed with an associative star product, whereas the higher spin (super)algebra is obtained from the correspondent (anti)symmetrization of the star product (see [25] and reference therein).

[9] C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. **D5** (1972), 377.

[10] R. Jackiw, Annals Phys. **129** (1980) 183.

[11] R. Jackiw, Annals Phys. **201** (1990) 83.

[12] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3500 (1990) [Erratum-ibid. D **48**, 3929 (1993)].

[13] C. Duval, P. A. Horvathy and L. Palla, Phys. Lett. B **325** (1994) 39 [arXiv:hep-th/9401065]; P. A. Horvathy and P. Zhang, Phys. Rept. **481**, 83 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2094 [hep-th]].

[14] M. Hassaïne and P. A. Horváthy , Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **282**, 218 (2000).

[15] M. Henkel, J. Statist. Phys. **75** (1994) 1023 [arXiv:hep-th/9310081].

[16] T. Mehen, I. W. Stewart and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **474** (2000) 145 [arXiv:hep-th/9910025].

[17] M. Henkel, J. Unterberger, Nucl. Phys. **B660** (2003) 407-435. [hep-th/0302187].

[18] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons and P. Horvathy, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3907 (1991) [arXiv:hep-th/0512188].

[19] C. Duval, M. Hassaïne and P. A. Horvathy, Annals Phys. **324** (2009) 1158 [arXiv:0809.3128 [hep-th]].

[20] D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 046003 [arXiv:0804.3972 [hep-th]].

[21] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 061601 [arXiv:0804.4053 [hep-th]].

[22] J. Maldacena, D. Martelli and Y. Tachikawa, JHEP **0810**, 072 (2008) [arXiv:0807.1100 [hep-th]].

[23] M. A. Vasiliev, Fortsch. Phys. **36** (1988) 33.

[24] M. A. Vasiliev, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 066006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0106149].

[25] M. A. Vasiliev, In Shifman, M.A. (ed.): *The many faces of the superworld*, 533-610. [hep-th/9910096];
X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla and M. A. Vasiliev, arXiv:hep-th/0503128.

[26] N. Boulanger, P. Sundell, [arXiv:1102.2219 [hep-th]].

[27] M. Henneaux, S. -J. Rey, JHEP **1012** (2010) 007. [arXiv:1008.4579 [hep-th]].

[28] J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert, *Symmetries, Lie algebras and representations* (Cambridge Monographs On Mathematical Physics, 1997).

[29] J. Gomis and M. Novell, "A Pseudoclassical Description For A Nonrelativistic Spinning Particle. 1. The Levy-Leblond Equation," Phys. Rev. D **33** (1986) 2212.

[30] P. G. Castro, B. Chakraborty, Z. Kuznetsova, F. Toppan, Central Eur. J. Phys. **9** (2011) 841-851. [arXiv:0912.0704 [hep-th]].

[31] M. S. Plyushchay, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11** (1996) 397 [arXiv:hep-th/9601141]; M. Plyushchay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15** (2000) 3679 [arXiv:hep-th/9903130]; F. Correa and M. S. Plyushchay, Annals Phys. **322** (2007) 2493 [arXiv:hep-th/0605104]; F. Correa, L. M. Nieto and M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Lett. B **644** (2007) 94 [arXiv:hep-th/0608096]; Phys. Lett. B **659** (2008) 746 [arXiv:0707.1393 [hep-th]]; F. Correa, V. Jakubsky, L. M. Nieto and M. S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 030403 [arXiv:0801.1671 [hep-th]]; F. Correa, V. Jakubsky and M. S. Plyushchay, Annals Phys. **324** (2009) 1078 [arXiv:0809.2854 [hep-th]]; F. Correa, H. Falomir, V. Jakubsky and M. S. Plyushchay, J. Phys. A **43** (2010) 075202 [arXiv:0906.4055 [hep-th]].

[32] C. Duval and P. A. Horvathy, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994) 2516 [arXiv:hep-th/0508079].

[33] J. P. Gauntlett, J. Gomis and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B **248** (1990) 288.

[34] S. Fedoruk, J. Lukierski, Phys. Lett. **B632** (2006) 371-378. [hep-th/0506086]; [hep-th/0512183].

[35] J. M. Lévy-Leblond, Commun. Math. Phys. **4** (1967), 157.

[36] P. Ramond, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **101** (2001) 45 [arXiv:hep-th/0102012].

[37] G. Burdet, M. Perrin and P. Sorba, Comm. Math. Phys. **34** (1973) 85-90.